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An important area of materials science is the study of effective dielectric, thermal and electrical properties of two phase composite materials with very different properties of the constituents. The case of small concentration is well studied and analytical formulas such as Clausius-Mossotti (Maxwell-Garnett) are successfully used by physicists and engineers. We investigate analytically the case of an arbitrary number of unidirectional circular fibers in the periodicity cell when the concentration of the fibers is not small, i.e., we account for interactions of all orders (pair, triplet, etc.). We next consider transversely-random unidirectional composite of the parallel fibers and obtain a closed form representation for the effective conductivity (as a power series in the concentration $v$ ). We express the coefficients in this expansion in terms of integrals of the elliptic Eisenstein functions. These integrals are evaluated and the explicit dependence of the parameter $d$, which characterizes random position of the fibers centers, is obtained. Thus we have extended the Clausius-Mossotti formula for the non dilute mixtures by adding the higher order terms in concentration and qualitatively evaluated the effect of randomness in the fibers locations. In particular, we have proven that the periodic array provides extremum for the effective conductivity in our class of random arrays ("shaking" geometries). Our approach is based on complex analysis techniques and functional equations, which are solved by the successive approximations method.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most important problem of materials science is analysis of the various fields and prediction of the effective properties of composite materials. Such problems can be studied by modeling of the processes by Laplace's equations with appropriate interface conditions. The solution of this boundary value problem determines the physical field (e.g., electric potential) in the composite and the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}$, which describes overall transport properties of a composite. In this paper we consider composites which consist of a uniform background (matrix or host) reinforced by unidirectional cylindrical fibers. This mathematical model describes heat conduction, electric and dielectric transport properties, permeability of a liquid flow and anti-plane elastic problems. In order to obtain a formula for $\Lambda_{e}$ it is sufficient to solve a boundary value problem in the unit periodicity cell. ${ }^{(2,9)}$ Grigolyuk and Filshtinskij ${ }^{(12)}$ used the method of integral equations to solve two-dimensional cell problems numerically. Main focus of this work was directed towards the elastic problems. Another constructive approach is based on the reduction to an infinite systems of linear algebraic equations, which can be solved numerically by the truncation procedure. Berdichevskij ${ }^{(4)}$ used series to obtain approximations for $\Lambda_{e}$ in the case of one inclusion in a three-dimensional periodic cell. In this work a three-dimensional analog of the elliptic Weierstrass' functions had been developed. The application of Rayleigh's method ${ }^{(17)}$ reduces the two-dimensional conductivity problem to an infinite system of linear algebraic equations. The latter can be truncated and solved numerically. McPhedran et al. in ref. 19 have extended Rayleigh's techniques (in particular, derived an expression for the multipole coefficients) and obtained approximate formulas for the effective conductivity of the square and hexagonal arrays of cylinders. Similar method was applied by Sangani and Yao. ${ }^{(28)}$ Using special derivative operators they reduced the problem to an infinite system of linear algebraic equations. Analytic properties of $\Lambda_{e}$ as a function of the contrast parameter have been exploited by Bergman and Dunn ${ }^{(5)}$ and Milton ${ }^{(20)}$ to construct bounds for $\Lambda_{e}$. These bounds provide an efficient tool for the numerical evaluation of $\Lambda_{e}$. Cheng and Greengard ${ }^{(7)}$ studied problems for composite materials with a finite (large) number of inclusions in the plane. The method of integral equations and the method of images have been used to reduce the problem to an infinite system of linear algebraic equations. A number of interesting numerical results have been presented in this work.

A simple formula on $\Lambda_{e}$ is given by the famous Clausius-Mossotti approximation (for history and applications see refs. 16 and 20). In two dimensions under the assumption that the composite is macroscopically
isotropic, that is $\Lambda_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{e} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{e}\end{array}\right)$, this formula can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}=\frac{1+\rho v}{1-\rho v}+O\left(v^{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\rho=\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) /\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)$, the conductivity of the matrix is equal to unity ( $\lambda=1$ ), the conductivity of the fibers is $\lambda_{1}$ and the concentration $v$ tends to zero. Formula (1.1) provides a good approximations for $\lambda_{e}$ in the case of circular unidirectional fibers for small $v$ (the dilute limit). Many authors (see above citations) improved the formula (1.1) by considering special geometrical structures such as the square and the hexagonal arrays. As it was noted above they reduced the problem to the integral equations or to the infinite systems of linear algebraic equations, and then used numerics. A method of functional equations has been developed in refs. 23-26 for the planar interface conditions (conjugation conditions). At first the functional equations appeared in Golusin's paper. ${ }^{(11)}$ In particular, the method of functional equations has been applied to improve (1.1) by evaluation of the next terms in the expansion in $\rho$. For the sake of completeness this result is presented in Theorems 3.2 and 3.3 of the present paper. One can see that these terms contain geometrical parameters such as positions of the cylinders and their radii. For instance, the following formula for the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{e}^{x} & \lambda_{e}^{x y} \\ \lambda_{e}^{y} & \lambda_{e}^{y}\end{array}\right)$ follows from Theorem 3.3

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}^{x}-i \lambda_{e}^{x y}=1+2 \rho \sum_{m=1}^{N} v_{m}+\frac{\rho^{2}}{\pi} \sum_{k \neq m} v_{m} v_{k} E_{2}\left(a_{k}-a_{m}\right)+O\left(\rho^{3}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\rho \rightarrow 0$, where $N$ is the number of inclusion in the unit cell, $a_{m}$ are the centers of inclusions (complex numbers), $v_{m}=\pi r_{m}^{2}, r_{m}$ are the radii of inclusions, $E_{2}$ is the Eisenstein function (see Appendix C). Equation (1.2) allows us to calculate the components $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ and $\lambda_{e}^{x y}$ of the tensor $\Lambda_{e}$, since (1.2) is a complex equality and is equivalent to two real ones. The component $\lambda_{e}^{y}$ is calculated by similar way.

In this paper we solve functional equations using a power series in concentration $v$. Hence, we improve (1.1) by explicit evaluation of the higher order terms in the expansion in $v$ for arbitrary fixed $\rho$ (unlike the previous work, ${ }^{(23)}$ where the expansion in $\rho$ have been considered). In Theorem 3.4 we derive a simple algorithm based on successive approximations to evaluate the flux in the composite material. Using these formulas for the local fields we obtain formula (4.9) for the effective conductivity. Higher-order terms explicitly computed through the geometrical parameters: centers and radii of the cylinders (Section 4, (4.14)-(4.18)). The series
in $\rho$ is rather convenient to obtain convergence results for the method of functional equations. The series in $v$ (e.g., (4.10)) is convenient to obtain higher order constructive formulas for the effective conductivity, since such a series explicitly contains elliptic functions in corrections of all orders (also compare the expansions (3.10)-(3.11) and (3.8); in the latter the higher order terms in the expansion in $\rho$ have been expressed through the double operator's series). The Eisenstein functions are well studied, high precision fast numerical algorithms and analytical formulas based on $\theta$-functions ${ }^{(13,31)}$ are readily available for computing the elliptic functions. To summarize, we remark that the series in $v$ corresponds to the physical nature of the problem, in particular, it can be used for the high contrast case (compare with (1.2), where $\rho$ tends to zero), when $|\rho|$ is closed to 1 and the expansion in $v$ provides simple approximate formulas for the higher order terms in the expansion of $\lambda_{e}$. Note that the effective conductivity $\lambda_{e}$ is an analytic function in $v$ in the 2D case. The latter is not so in the 3D case (see, for example, refs. 18 and 4).

We next consider a random distribution of the fibers. To simplify presentation we consider the unit cell with identical inclusions whose centers $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}$ are random variables. Each center is uniformly distributed in a disk of the radius $d$. Centers of these disks form a square array on the plane (shaded disks, see Fig. 1) whereas the disks which correspond to the fiber's cross-sections do not form the periodic array. Hence, we


Fig. 1. "Shaking" geometry.
investigate a random "shaking" of the fibers about the periodic square array ( $d$ does not have to be small and therefore our results are not perturbative in $d$ ). We represent the expected value $\left\langle\lambda_{e}\right\rangle$ of the random value $\lambda_{e}$ in the form $\left\langle\lambda_{e}\right\rangle=\lambda_{e}^{(0)}+\left\langle\tilde{\lambda}_{e}\right\rangle$ where $\lambda_{e}^{(0)}$ corresponds to the deterministic structure, when $d=0$ and the centers are located at the sites of the regular square array; the term $\left\langle\tilde{\lambda}_{e}\right\rangle$ appears due to the randomness. The parameter $d$ has been chosen so that the fibers cannot touch. However, our method also works when cylinders touch each other but do not form a spanning cluster of touching cylinders in the periodic cell, i.e., no infinite chains of touching disks in the plane are allowed (see also Remark 3.1 from Section 3). In other words we do not consider the percolation phenomenon. The quantity $\left\langle\tilde{\lambda}_{e}\right\rangle$ is a correction due to the random shaking. We represent $\left\langle\tilde{\lambda}_{e}\right\rangle$ as a power series in $v$ and evaluate coefficients in this series in terms of the integrals of the elliptic Eisenstein functions (see Appendix C and D). We show that these integrals are analytic in $d$ (see formulas (5.4) and (6.20)). Thus we have extended the Clausius-Mossotti formula (1.1) for a non dilute case by adding the higher order terms in concentration $v$ and qualitatively evaluated the effect of randomness by evaluating the dependence on the "shaking" parameter $d$ (see (5.1), (5.4)). Moreover, we have proved that the correction $\left\langle\tilde{\lambda}_{e}\right\rangle$ is positive, if $\lambda_{1}>\lambda=1$, i.e., $\rho>0$. This leads us to an important qualitative conclusion: any random shaking described above (see Fig. 1) of the periodic square array (see Fig. 2) results in the increase of the effective conductivity. In


Fig. 2. The periodic array.
other words the periodic array provides minimum of the effective conductivity in our class of arrays (the "shaking" geometries). We mention here a heuristic argument which suggests that the shaking geometries provide a reasonable approximation for random mixtures at high concentrations. Indeed there is not much room for the inclusions to move around, when their density is relatively high. Therefore the inclusions could naturally form some kind of a shaking pattern, whereas at small concentrations the random patterns could be very different from the shaking geometries.

We also remark that many authors studied various aspects of homogenization for random composite materials (see, for example, refs. 14, 27, 29, 30 , and 6). Our focus is to look for special cases where explicit analytical formulas for the effective conductivity can be obtained. We also note that a generic approach based on the $n$-point probability functions and variational bounds have been developed and used by several authors (see refs. 30, 21, 3,20 and references therein).

Finally we remark that in the paper ${ }^{(15)}$ the extremal property of the periodic array has been established for the case of the dilute limit (small concentration of the inclusions) in 3D. This was done under an assumption that the centers of the square array of small balls can be randomly perturbed (a small perturbation). Of course, the case of small concentrations is quite different from our consideration since we allow arbitrary high concentrations up to the touching (touching excluded) and therefore we account for interactions of all orders (unlike the dilute limit case when the interactions are negligible). Moreover our "shaking" parameter $d$, which characterizes random perturbation of the centers of disks is not small, that is we allow all perturbations up to the touching (touching excluded). In this regard the small perturbation result of ref. 15 can be viewed as a liner part (approximation or expansion) in $d$ of our formula for the effective conductivity. In other words the result of ref. 15 has a local nature (small concentration, and small random perturbation about the periodic array). Our result shows that the conclusion of the Kozlov's theorem ${ }^{(15)}$ also holds for the global case ( non small concentration and non small $d$ ).

## 2. FORMULATION OF THE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

We consider a lattice 2 which is defined by the two fundamental translation vectors 1 and $i\left(i^{2}=-1\right)$ in the complex plane $\mathbf{C} \cong \mathbf{R}^{2}$ of the complex variable $z=x+i y$. The zeroth cell $Q_{(0,0)}$ (the basis of $\left.\mathscr{2}\right)$ is the square $\left\{z=t_{1}+i t_{2} \in \mathbf{C}:-1 / 2<t_{p}<1 / 2, p=1,2\right\}$. Let $\mathscr{E}:=\bigcup_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left\{m_{1}+i m_{2}\right\}$ is the set of the lattice points, where $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ belong to the set of integer numbers $\mathbf{Z}$. The lattice 2 consists of the cells $Q_{m_{1}, m_{2}}=Q_{(0,0)}+m_{1}+i m_{2}:=$ $\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}: z-m_{1}-i m_{2} \in Q_{(0,0)}\right\}$. Let us consider mutually disjoint equal disks


Fig. 3. The periodicity cell with inclusions.
(inclusions) $D_{k}:=\left\{z \in \mathbf{C}:\left|z-a_{k}\right|<r\right\} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, N)$ in the zeroth cell $Q_{(0,0)}$ (see Fig. 3).

Let $T_{k}:=\left\{t \in \mathbf{C}:\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r\right\}$ be the boundary of $D_{k}$, and $\mathscr{D}_{0}:=$ $Q_{(0,0)} \backslash\left(\cup_{k=1}^{N} D_{k} \cup T_{k}\right)$ be the connected phase (the matrix or host) in the zeroth cell $Q_{(0,0)}$. Hereafter we use the letters $z$ and $t$ for a variable inside the domain, and on the boundary of the domain respectively. We study the effective conductivity of the doubly periodic composite material, when the domains $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and $D_{k}$ in the cell $Q_{(0,0)}$ are occupied by materials of conductivities $\lambda=1$ and $\lambda_{1}>0$, respectively (Fig. 3), i.e., the unbounded domain $\mathscr{D}_{\text {per }}:=\bigcup_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(\mathscr{D}_{0} \cup \partial Q_{(0,0)}+m_{1}+i m_{2}\right)$ and the disks $D_{k}+m_{1}+i m_{2}$ are occupied by two different materials and generate a composite material with a doubly periodic planar structure. The potential $u(z)$ satisfies the Laplace equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta u=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \bigcup_{k=1}^{N}\left(D_{k}+m_{1}+i m_{2}\right) \cup\left(\mathscr{D}_{0}+m_{1}+i m_{2}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the conjugation conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{+}=u^{-}, \quad \frac{\partial u^{+}}{\partial n}=\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u^{-}}{\partial n} \quad \text { on } T_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial n$ is the outward normal derivative and

$$
u^{+}(t):=\lim _{z \rightarrow t, z \in \mathscr{Q}_{0}} u(z) \quad u^{-}(t):=\lim _{z \rightarrow t, z \in D_{k}} u(z)
$$

$t \in T_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, N$. We also impose on $u(z)$ the quasi periodicity conditions, i.e., $u(z)$ has constant jumps along the unit cell. We consider the external field applied in the $x$-direction given by the following conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z+1)=u(z)+1, \quad u(z+i)=u(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the complex potentials $\varphi(z)$ and $\varphi_{k}(z)$ analytic in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and $D_{k}$, respectively, and continuously differentiable in the closures of $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and $D_{k}$. The harmonic and analytic functions are related by the equalities

$$
u(z)= \begin{cases}\mathfrak{R}(\varphi(z)+z), & z \in \mathscr{D}_{0}  \tag{2.4}\\ \frac{2}{1+\lambda_{1}} \mathfrak{R} \varphi_{k}(z), & z \in D_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N\end{cases}
$$

where $\mathfrak{R}$ stands for the real part. The normalization factor $2 /\left(1+\lambda_{1}\right)$ is introduced, otherwise it would of appear in (2.6). Note that $\varphi(z)$ is singlevalued (see Appendix B) in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and periodic in C, namely (2.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z+1)=\varphi(z)=\varphi(z+i) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two real conditions (2.2) can be written in terms of the complex potentials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\varphi_{k}(t)-\rho \overline{\varphi_{k}(t)}-t, \quad\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Appendix B it is shown that (2.6) is equivalent to (2.2) up to an additive pure imaginary constant. In order to determine the current $\nabla u(x, y)$ we need only the derivatives

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\psi(z):=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, & z \in \mathscr{D}_{0} \\
\psi_{k}(z):=\frac{\partial \varphi_{k}}{\partial z}=\frac{\lambda_{1}+1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right), & z \in D_{k} \tag{2.7}
\end{array}
$$

Differentiating (2.6) we arrive at the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=\psi_{k}(t)+\rho\left(\frac{r}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi_{k}(t)}-1, \quad\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see Appendix A for details).

## 3. METHOD OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

In this section we describe the method of functional equations (see refs. 11 and 26). We first provide a short overview of this method. In the above problem we have $N$ contours $T_{k}$ and $N$ conjugation conditions on each contour, and we need to find $N+1$ functions $\psi, \psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{N}$. Thus, roughly speaking, we need one more condition to close up the system. To get this condition we modify $\psi, \psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{N}$ in their domains so that the obtained function $\Phi(z)$ (see (3.5)) is defined everywhere in the unit cell $Q_{(0,0)}$ (including the holes $D_{k}$ ) and its jump across each $T_{k}$ is zero. The latter implies that $\Phi(z)$ is analytic inside the periodicity cell $Q_{(0,0)}$. Since it is double periodic we apply Liouville's theorem (for double periodic functions, see ref. 13) and conclude that $\Phi(z)=c$.

Let us consider the Banach space $C_{k}$ of the functions continuous on $T_{k}$ with the norm $\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|:=\max _{T_{k}}\left|\psi_{k}(t)\right|(k=1,2, \ldots, N)$. Next we consider the closed subspaces $C_{k}^{+} \subset C_{k}$ for which the functions $\psi_{k}$ have analytic continuation into $D_{k}$. We also introduce the Banach space $C^{+}$consisting of the functions $\Psi(t):=\psi_{k}(t) \in C_{k}^{+}$for all $k=1,2, \ldots, N$ with the norm $\|\Psi\|:=\max _{k}\left\|\psi_{k}\right\|\left(\Psi(t)\right.$ is defined in all disks $\left.D_{k}\right)$. Let us introduce the Möbius transformations in $\bar{z}$

$$
\alpha(\bar{z}):=\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-a_{k}-m_{1}-i m_{2}}}+a_{k}
$$

for fixed $k=1,2, \ldots, N$. If $m_{1}=m_{2}=0$, then $\alpha(\bar{z})$ becomes the inversion with respect to the circle $T_{k}$. The right-hand side of the following relation

$$
\overline{\psi_{k}(t)}=\overline{\psi_{k}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{t-a_{k}}}+a_{k}\right)}, \quad t \in T_{k}
$$

provides analytical continuation from $\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r$ into $\left|z-a_{k}\right|>r$. If $m_{1}+i m_{2} \neq 0$, then $\alpha(\bar{z})$ consists of the inversion with respect to the circle $T_{k}+m_{1}+i m_{2}$ and translation by the vector $-\left(m_{1}+i m_{2}\right)$. One can see that $\alpha(\bar{z})$ with $m_{1}+i m_{2} \neq 0$ transforms the closed disk $\left|z-a_{k}\right| \leqslant r$ into another closed disk which lies inside the open disk $\left|z-a_{k}\right|<r$. The latter geometrical property (contraction property) of $\alpha(\bar{z})$ allows us to assert that the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)=\left(\frac{r}{z-a_{k}-m_{1}-i m_{2}}\right)^{2} \psi_{k}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{t-a_{k}-m_{1}-i m_{2}}}+a_{k}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact from $C_{k}^{+}$into $C_{k}^{+}$for $m_{1}+i m_{2} \neq 0$ (there is no summation in the repeated index $k$ ). Indeed, applying the Cauchy integral formula ${ }^{(1)}$ we have the following representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{k}\left(\frac{r_{2}}{\overline{z-a_{k}-m_{1}-i m_{2}}}+a_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{T_{k}} \frac{\psi_{k}(\tau) d \tau}{\tau-\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-a_{k}-m_{1}-i m_{2}}}-a_{k}} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (3.1) is a composition of a compact operator (3.2), the operator of the complex conjugation, and a bounded operator of the multiplication by $\left(r /\left(z-a_{k}-m_{1}-i m_{2}\right)\right)^{2}$. Therefore the operator (3.1) is bounded in $\left|z-a_{k}\right|$ $\leqslant r\left(m_{1}+i m_{2} \neq 0\right)$. Compactness of (3.2) follows from the fact that its kernel is a continuous function in $(\tau, z) \in T_{k} \times D_{k}$. The latter follows from the contraction property. Analogously the operator $W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k}$ for each $m_{1}, m_{2}$ and each $m=1,2, \ldots, N ; m \neq k$ is compact and maps $C_{k}^{+}$into $C_{m}^{+}$.

Remark 3.1. In the case of touching cylinders the operator (3.1) is not compact, blot it is bounded, if the touching disks do not generate an infinite chain in the plane. If the touching disks do not generate an infinite chain in the plane, then the convergence of the successive approximations still holds ${ }^{(26)}$ and Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 hold.

We shall use $W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k}$ to reduce the conjugation problem (2.8) to a system of functional equations. First we sum up $\left(W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)=$ $\left(W_{(0,0) k} \psi_{k}\right)\left(z-m_{1}-i m_{2}\right)$ over all translations $m_{1}+i m_{2}$ to obtain a double periodic functions. To this end we expand $\psi_{k}(z)$ into the Taylor series

$$
\psi_{k}(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \psi_{l k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{l}
$$

Next we use the following theorem. ${ }^{(23,24)}$

Theorem 3.2. (i) The series $\sum_{j}\left(W_{j k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)\left(j=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right), k\right.$ fixed $)$ converges absolutely and uniformly in the perforated cell $\mathscr{D}_{0} \cup \partial \mathscr{D}_{0}$. It defines a function which is analytic in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$, continuous in $\mathscr{D}_{0} \cup \partial \mathscr{D}_{0}$ and doubly periodic. This function can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}\left(W_{j k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}_{l k} r^{2(l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right), \quad z \in \mathscr{D}_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{l}(z)$ is the Eisenstein function of order $l$ (see Appendix C).
(ii) The series

$$
\sum_{j}^{\prime}\left(W_{j k} \psi_{k}\right)(z):=\sum_{j}\left(W_{j k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)-\left(\frac{r}{z-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi_{k}\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-a_{k}}}+a_{k}\right)}
$$

defines a function analytic in the unit cell $Q_{(0,0)}$, continuous in $Q_{(0,0)} \cup \partial Q_{(0,0)}$. This function can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}^{\prime}\left(W_{j k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_{l k}} r^{2(l+1)} \sigma_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{l}(z)$ is the modified Eisenstein function (see Appendix C).
(iii) The linear operator $\sum_{j}^{\prime} W_{j k} \psi_{k}(z)$ is compact in $C_{k}^{+}$.

This theorem allows us to introduce an auxiliary function

$$
\Phi(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\psi_{m}(z)-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{*}\left(W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)-1, \quad\left|z-a_{m}\right| \leqslant r_{m}  \tag{3.5}\\
m=1,2, \ldots, N \\
\psi(z)-\rho \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k} \psi_{k}\right)(z), \quad z \in \mathscr{D}_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{*} W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k}:=\sum_{k \neq m} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}} W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k}+\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{\prime} W_{m_{1}, m_{2} m}$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $\Phi(z)$ is analytic in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and $D_{k}(k=1$, $2, \ldots, N)$; it is also doubly periodic. Let us calculate the jump of $\Phi(z)$ across $T_{m}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta:=\Phi^{+}(t)-\Phi^{-}(t)=\psi(t)-\rho\left(\frac{r}{t-a_{m}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi_{m}(t)}+1, & \left|t-a_{m}\right|=r \\
\Delta:=\Phi^{+}(t)-\Phi^{-}(t)=\psi(t)-\rho\left(\frac{r}{t-a_{m}}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi_{m}(t)}-\psi_{m}(t)+1, & \left|t-a_{m}\right|=r
\end{array}
$$

Taking into account (2.8) we obtain $\Delta=0$. Using the principle of analytic continuation and the generalized Liouville theorem for doubly periodic functions ${ }^{(13)}$ we conclude that $\Phi(z) \equiv c$, where $c$ is a constant. It will be shown in Section 4 that $c=0$. Writing the relation $\Phi(z)=0$ in $\left|z-a_{m}\right| \leqslant r$ we obtain the system of linear functional equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}(z)=\rho \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{*}\left(W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k} \psi_{k}\right)(z)+1, \quad\left|z-a_{m}\right| \leqslant r_{m}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to $\psi_{m} \in C_{m}^{+}$. The system (3.6) can be considered as an equation for the function $\Psi(z)$ in the space $C^{+}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(z)=\rho \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{*} W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k} \Psi(z)+1, \quad z \in \bigcup_{m=1}^{N}\left(D_{m} \cup T_{m}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi(z)=\psi_{m}(z)$ in $\left|z-a_{m}\right| \leqslant r$ for each $m=1,2, \ldots, N$. We will use the following theorem: ${ }^{(23)}$

Theorem 3.3. Equation (3.7) has the unique solution in $C^{+}$. It can be found by the method of successive approximations, which gives the following series

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{m}(z)=1+\rho \sum_{k_{1}=1}^{N} \sum_{j_{1}}^{*} W_{j_{1} k_{1}} 1(z)+\rho^{2} \sum_{k_{1}} \sum_{k_{2}} \sum_{j_{1}}^{*} \sum_{j_{2}}^{*} W_{j_{1} k_{1}} W_{j_{2} k_{2}} 1(z)+\cdots, \\
\left|z-a_{m}\right| \leqslant r_{m}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

The function $\psi(z)$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\rho \sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{j}\left(W_{j k} \psi_{k}\right)(z), \quad z \in \mathscr{D}_{0} \cup \partial \mathscr{D}_{0} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Convergence in $C^{+}$means uniform convergence, which preserves analyticity in the limit. The operator $W_{m_{1}, m_{2} k}$ depends analytically on $r^{2}$. Hence we can consider $\psi_{m}(z)$ determined by (3.8) as an analytic function in variable ( $\rho, r^{2}$ ) in the bidisk $U \times V$, where $U$ is the unit disk, $V$ is the disk of the radius $r_{0}^{2}$. The critical radius $r_{0}$ is the minimum radius of the disks with the given set of the centers $a_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots, N)$ for which at least two disks are touching. This allows us to propose another method to solve (3.6) or (3.7). Namely we look for $\psi_{m}(z)$ in the form of series expansion in $r^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m}(z)=\psi_{m}^{(0)}(z)+r^{2} \psi_{m}^{(1)}(z)+r^{4} \psi_{m}^{(2)}(z)+\cdots \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the representations (3.3) and (3.4) which is based on the Eisenstein functions and (3.10) we rewrite Eqs. (3.6) in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} r^{2 s} \psi_{m}^{(s)}(z)=\rho\left[\sum_{k \neq m}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_{l k}^{(s)}} r^{2(s+l+1)} E_{l+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)\right. \\
\left.+\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \overline{\psi_{l m}^{(s)}} r^{2(s+l+1)} \sigma_{l+2}\left(z-a_{m}\right)\right]+1 \\
\left|z-a_{m}\right| \leqslant r_{m}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots, N
\end{gathered}
$$

where each term in (3.10) is expanded into the Taylor series

$$
\psi_{k}^{(s)}(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \psi_{l k}^{(s)}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{l}
$$

Collecting the coefficients of the like powers of $r^{2}$ we arrive at the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. Let $u(z)$ be the solution of the cell problem (2.1)-(2.3) and let $\nabla u$ be the flux defined in (2.7):

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}= \begin{cases}\psi(z)+1, & z \in \mathscr{D}_{0} \cup \partial \mathscr{D}_{0} \\ \frac{2}{\lambda_{1}+1} \psi_{k}(z), & z \in D_{k} \cup T_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, N\end{cases}
$$

Here $z=x+i y, \psi_{k}(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ are given by (3.10) and (3.9), respectively, where $\psi_{m}^{(p)}(z)$ are given by the following recurrence relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{m}^{(0)}(z)= & 1 \\
\psi_{m}^{(1)}(z)= & \rho\left[\sum_{k \neq m}^{N} \overline{\psi_{0 k}^{(0)}} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\overline{\psi_{0 m}^{(0)}} \sigma_{2}\left(z-a_{m}\right)\right] \\
= & \rho\left[\sum_{k \neq m}^{N} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\sigma_{2}\left(z-a_{m}\right)\right] \\
\psi_{m}^{(2)}(z)= & \rho\left\{\sum_{k \neq m}^{N}\left[\overline{\psi_{0 k}^{(0)}} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\overline{\psi_{1 k}^{(0)}} E_{3}\left(z-a_{k}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\overline{\psi_{0 m}^{(1)}} \sigma_{2}\left(z-a_{m}\right)+\overline{\psi_{1 m}^{(1)}} \sigma_{3}\left(z-a_{m}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vdots \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{m}^{(p+1)}(z)= & \rho\left\{\sum_{k \neq m}^{N} \overline{\psi_{p k}^{(0)}} E_{p+2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\overline{\psi_{p m}^{(0)}} \sigma_{p+2}\left(z-a_{m}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{k \neq m}^{N} \overline{\psi_{p-1, k}^{(1)}} E_{p+1}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\overline{\psi_{p-1, m}^{(1)}} \sigma_{p+1}\left(z-a_{m}\right)+\cdots \\
& \left.+\sum_{k \neq m}^{N} \overline{\psi_{0 k}^{(p)}} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\overline{\psi_{0 m}^{(p)}} \sigma_{2}\left(z-a_{m}\right)\right\}, \quad p=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have found a simple recursive algorithm which defines the flux $\nabla u$ ( $u$ is a solution of (2.1)-(2.3)) in terms of the Eisenstein functions, the contrast parameter $\rho$, and the radius $r$ of the identical disks. We remark that in order to determine the effective conductivity it is sufficient to know the flux $\nabla u$.

## 4. EFFECTIVE CONDUCTIVITY

Let us find the effective conductivity tensor

$$
\Lambda_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{e}^{x} & \lambda_{e}^{x y}  \tag{4.1}\\
\lambda_{e}^{x y} & \lambda_{e}^{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

of the composite material represented by the zero cell $Q_{(0,0)}$. We will compute $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ and $\lambda_{e}^{x y}$, then $\lambda_{e}^{y}$ can be calculated similarly to $\lambda_{e}^{x}$. The variational definition of $\Lambda_{e}$ can be found in refs. 2 and 9 . We will use an equivalent definition which can be obtained by a simple application of Green's formula

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{e}^{x} & =\int_{\mathscr{D}_{0}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x d y+\lambda_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{D_{m}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x d y  \tag{4.2}\\
\lambda_{e}^{x y} & =\int_{\mathscr{D}_{0}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d x d y+\lambda_{1} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{D_{m}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d x d y \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u$ is the solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.3). Using another Green's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) d x d y=\int_{\partial G} f d x+g d y \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathscr{O}_{0}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x d y=\int_{\partial \mathscr{O}_{0}} u d y=\int_{\partial \mathscr{Q}_{(0,0)}} u d y-\sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{\partial D_{m}} u d y \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we assume that the curves $\partial \mathscr{2}_{(0,0)}$ and $\partial D_{m}$ are oriented in the counterclockwise direction. Since the jump of $u$ on the unit cell along the $x$-direction is equal to 1 , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathscr{D}_{0}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x d y=1-\sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{D_{m}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} d x d y \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Along similar lines we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathscr{D}_{0}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d x d y=-\sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{D_{m}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} d x d y \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (4.2)-(4.3) become

$$
\lambda_{e}^{x}-i \lambda_{e}^{x y}=1+\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{D_{m}}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) d x d y
$$

Using (2.7) and the identity ${ }^{(1)}$

$$
\psi_{m}(z)=\varphi_{m}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[\mathfrak{R} \varphi_{m}(z)\right]-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left[\mathfrak{R} \varphi_{m}(z)\right]
$$

we obtain

$$
\lambda_{e}^{x}-i \lambda_{e}^{x y}=1+2 \rho \sum_{m=1}^{N} \int_{D_{m}} \psi_{m}(z) d x d y
$$

Due to the mean value theorem for harmonic functions we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}^{x}-i \lambda_{e}^{x y}=1+2 \rho v \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \psi_{m}\left(a_{m}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=N \pi r^{2}$ is the concentration of the inclusions of conductivity $\lambda_{1}$. Since $\psi_{m}(z)$ has been calculated in Theorem 3.3, formula (4.8) provides an exact formula for $\lambda_{e}^{x}-i \lambda_{e}^{x y}$.

Remark 4.1. In Section 3 we put $\Phi(z)=c \equiv 0$. If we formally keep the constant $c$, then (4.8) for $\rho=0\left(\lambda_{1}=1\right)$ becomes $\lambda_{e}^{x}-i \lambda_{e}^{x y}=1+c$. Thus $c$ must be zero since for $\rho=0$ we have the uniform material of the unit conductivity without the inclusions

Assume that our composite material is macroscopically isotropic. Then the effective tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ is of the form $\Lambda_{e}=\lambda_{e} I$, where $\lambda_{e}$ is the effective conductivity (scalar), $I$ is the unit matrix. In this case (4.8) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}=1+2 \rho v \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \psi_{m}\left(a_{m}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.10) we write $\lambda_{e}$ in the form of the series in $v$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}=1+2 \rho v\left[A_{0}+A_{1} v+A_{2} v^{2}+\cdots\right] \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}=\frac{1}{\pi^{p} N^{p+1}} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \psi_{m}^{(p)}\left(a_{m}\right), \quad p=0,1, \ldots \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now use the recurrence relations from Theorem 3.4 to calculate the coefficients $A_{p}$ in terms of the Eisenstein functions. For the sake of simplicity of the final formulas we apply the convention

$$
E_{p}\left(z-a_{k}\right):= \begin{cases}E_{p}\left(z-a_{k}\right), & k \neq m \\ \sigma_{p}\left(z-a_{m}\right) & k=m\end{cases}
$$

where the number $m$ is the number of the corresponding function $\psi_{m}^{(p)}(z)$. In particular

$$
E_{p}\left(a_{k}-a_{m}\right):= \begin{cases}E_{p}\left(a_{k}-a_{m}\right), & k \neq m  \tag{4.12}\\ S_{p} & k=m\end{cases}
$$

Here the functions $E_{p}, \sigma_{p}$ and the constants $S_{p}$ are derived in Appendix C. Moreover, we assume that all indexes $k, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}$ in the formulas below change from 1 to $N$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi_{m}^{(0)}(z)=1 \\
& \psi_{m}^{(1)}(z)= \rho \sum_{k} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \\
& \psi_{m}^{(2)}(z)= \rho^{2} \sum_{k, k_{1}} \overline{E_{2}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \\
& \psi_{m}^{(3)}(z)=-2!\rho^{2} \sum_{k, k_{1}} \overline{E_{3}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)} E_{3}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \\
&+\rho^{3} \sum_{k, k_{1}, k_{2}} \overline{E_{2}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)} E_{2}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right) E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
& \psi_{m}^{(4)}(z)= 3!\rho^{2} \sum_{k, k_{1}} \overline{E_{4}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)} E_{4}\left(z-a_{k}\right) \\
&-2!\rho^{3} \sum_{k, k_{1}, k_{2}}\left[\overline{E_{3}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)} E_{2}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right) E_{3}\left(z-a_{k}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+\overline{E_{3}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)} E_{3}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right) E_{3}\left(z-a_{k}\right)\right] \\
&+\rho^{4} \sum_{k, k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}} \overline{E_{2}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)} E_{2}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right) \overline{E_{2}\left(a_{k_{2}}-a_{k_{3}}\right)} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substitute (4.13) in (4.11) and use the following notations

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{l} & :=\sum_{k, k_{1}} E_{l}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \sum_{k_{1} \neq k} E_{l}\left(a_{k}-a_{k_{1}}\right)+N \sigma_{l}(0)  \tag{4.14}\\
X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}} & :=\sum_{m, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{M}} E_{p_{1}}\left(a_{m}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \cdots \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{C}$ is the operator of complex conjugation. If $M$ is odd, then $\mathbf{C}^{M-1}$ is the identity operator. If $M$ is even, then $\mathbf{C}^{M-1}$ is the operator of complex conjugation. We also use the notation

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}:= & \sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{k_{1} \neq m}^{N} \cdots \sum_{k_{M} \neq k_{M-1}}^{N} E_{p_{1}}\left(a_{m}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \\
& \times \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \cdots \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

to represent the convolutions $E_{p_{1}}\left(a_{m}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \cdots \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}\right.$ $\left.-a_{k_{M}}\right)$ in an explicit form. We will need this notation when evaluating some integrals arising in the analysis of the "shaking" (random) configurations.

Then according to (4.12), (4.14) and (4.15)

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}= & Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}+S_{p_{M}} Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M-1}}+S_{p_{M}} S_{p_{M-1}} Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M-2}} \\
& +\cdots+S_{p_{M}} S_{p_{M-1}} \cdots S_{p_{1}} \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{0}= & 1, \quad A_{1}=\frac{\rho}{\pi N^{2}} X_{2}, \quad A_{2}=\frac{\rho^{2}}{\pi^{2} N^{3}} X_{22}, \\
A_{3}= & \frac{1}{\pi^{3} N^{4}}\left[-2 \rho^{2} X_{33}+\rho^{3} X_{222}\right], \\
A_{4}= & \frac{1}{\pi^{4} N^{5}}\left[3!\rho^{2} X_{44}-2!\rho^{3}\left(X_{332}+X_{233}\right]+\rho^{4} X_{2222}\right] \\
A_{5}= & \frac{1}{\pi^{5} N^{6}}\left[-4!\rho^{2} X_{55}+3!\rho^{3}\left(X_{442}+X_{343}+X_{244}\right)\right.  \tag{4.17}\\
& \left.-2!\rho^{4}\left(X_{3322}+X_{2332}+X_{2233}\right)+\rho^{5} X_{22222}\right] \\
A_{6}= & \frac{1}{\pi^{6} N^{7}}\left[5!\rho^{2} X_{66}-4!\rho^{3}\left(X_{255}+X_{354}+X_{453}+X_{552}\right)\right. \\
& +3!\rho^{4}\left(X_{2244}+X_{2343}+X_{3333}+X_{2442}+X_{3432}+X_{4422}\right) \\
& \left.\left.-4!\rho^{5}\left(X_{22233}+X_{22332}+X_{23322}+X_{33222}\right)+\rho^{6} X_{222222}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Here we have calculated $A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{6}$. It is possible to proceed and to calculate the next terms $A_{7}, A_{8}, \ldots$. One can see that the coefficients $A_{p}$ are determined via $X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$, which are sums of the Eisenstein functions of the arguments of the type $a_{k}-a_{m}$ with some factors (e.g., $\rho / \pi N^{2}$ ). Hence, we have obtained the following result.

Theorem 4.2. Let the array of inclusions be such that the effective homogenized medium is isotropic. Then the effective conductivity has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}=1+2 \rho v \sum_{p=0}^{6} A_{p} v^{p}+O\left(v^{8}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $A_{p}$ are calculated in (4.14) and (4.17), where $E_{p}$ are the Eisenstein functions, $N$ is the number of inclusions in the unit cell; $\rho$ is the contrast parameter and the effective tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ is defined in (4.1)-(4.3).

## 5. EFFECTIVE CONDUCTIVITY OF A RANDOM COMPOSITE

In this section we apply the formulas from Section 4 to evaluate the effective conductivity $\lambda_{e}$ of an isotropic random composite material. For the sake of simplicity we choose $N=4$ and consider the centers $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$, $a_{4}$ as i.i.d. random variables. Let

$$
f_{k}(z):= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\pi d^{2}}, & \left|z-b_{k}\right|<d \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

be the probability density, which corresponds to the continuous uniform law, that is the point $a_{k}$ is uniformly distributed inside the disk $\left|z-b_{k}\right|<d$. Here $b_{1}=\frac{1}{4}(1+i), b_{2}=\frac{1}{4}(-1+i), b_{3}=\frac{1}{4}(-1-i), b_{4}=(1-i)$ are the sites of the regular square array. Thus the disks $D_{k}$ are randomly distributed inside the unit periodicity cell (square) $Q_{(0,0)}$, so that we have the double periodic random structure. We assume that $d+r<\frac{1}{4}$ (see Fig. 1). This means that each disk lies in the prescribed quarter of the original square cell and does not cross or touch the coordinate axes. For the sake of simplicity we decompose each $A_{p}$ into the sum $A_{p}=A_{p}^{(0)}+\tilde{A}_{p}$, where $A_{p}^{(0)}$ corresponds to the deterministic (periodic) structure and $\tilde{A}_{p}$ is the correction due to the randomness (the "fluctuation part"). Since (4.10) is linear in $A_{p}$ we obtain the same decomposition for $\lambda_{e}: \lambda_{e}=\lambda_{e}^{(0)}+\tilde{\lambda}_{e}$. Calculating
the expected value from the latter relation we get $\left\langle\lambda_{e}\right\rangle=\lambda_{e}^{(0)}+\left\langle\tilde{\lambda}_{e}\right\rangle$. The deterministic part $\lambda_{e}^{(0)}$ corresponds to a single inclusion in a square periodicity cell and has been calculated by several authors (see refs. 17, 19, and 25 ). We now calculate the expectation of the fluctuation part

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\tilde{\lambda}_{e}\right\rangle=2 \rho v\left[\left\langle\tilde{A}_{0}\right\rangle+\left\langle\tilde{A}_{1}\right\rangle v+\left\langle\tilde{A}_{2}\right\rangle v^{2}+\cdots\right]  \tag{5.1}\\
& \left\langle\tilde{A}_{p}\right\rangle:=\int_{\left[Q_{(0,0)}\right]^{4}} \tilde{A}_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{4} f_{k}\left(a_{k}\right)(d \sigma)^{4}, \quad p=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{k}=x+i y(k=1,2,3,4)$ are the variables of integration, $f_{k}$ is the probability density function, $d \sigma:=d x d y$. Here we identify the random variable $a_{k}$ with the parameter of integration. The coefficients $\widetilde{A}_{p}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{4}\right)$ are expressed through the special terms $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}=\left\langle Z_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
Z_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}=E_{p_{1}}\left(a_{k_{0}}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \cdots \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right)
$$

First we calculate $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$, then $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ from (4.14)-(4.17). The details of these calculations are presented in Appendix D. In particular, we have proved in Appendix D that $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$ is an analytic function of $d^{2}$, and $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ can be computed as a sum of $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$. This implies that $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \ldots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ is also analytic in $d^{2}$ and can be expanded into a convergent power series in $d^{2}$. Convergence in $d^{2}$ holds for admissible $d^{2}$, i.e., $d+r<\frac{1}{4}$, since the uniform convergence holds for all parameters in the method of successive approximations for the general functional equation (3.7). Hence we can decompose $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$

$$
\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle=X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}^{(0)}+\left\langle\tilde{X}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle
$$

Here the first term corresponds to the deterministic part $(d=0)$ and the second term corresponds to the fluctuations due to the random "shaking." The solution $\psi_{k}(z)$ of (3.6) is analytic in $a_{k}$, since each term in the series (3.8) is analytic in $a_{k}$ and the series uniformly converges in $\rho$ (analytic in $\rho$ ). Therefore $\psi_{k}\left(a_{k}\right)$ is analytic in $a_{k}$. The latter implies the coefficients $A_{p}$ are analytic in $a_{k}$.

To compute the dependence of the expected value $\left\langle A_{p}\right\rangle$ on the parameter $d^{2}$ we write $a_{k}=b_{k}+R e^{i \theta}, 0 \leqslant R \leqslant d$ and integrate in $R$ from 0
to $d$ ( for $d<\frac{1}{4}-r$ ). As a result of these calculations (see Appendix D) we get the following formulas for $\left\langle\tilde{A}_{p}\right\rangle(p=0,1,2)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\tilde{A}_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle\tilde{A}_{1}\right\rangle=0 \\
& \left\langle\tilde{A}_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\rho^{2}}{\pi^{2} 4^{3}}\left\langle\tilde{X}_{22}\right\rangle=\frac{\rho^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} h_{4 l}^{2}(4 l-1) d^{8 l-4} \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{4 l}$ is obtained by evaluating the Rayleigh sums (see Lemma 6.3). We can proceed to obtain analogous analytical formulas for $\left\langle\tilde{A}_{p}\right\rangle$ when $p>2$. One can see that each term $\left\langle\tilde{A}_{p}\right\rangle(p=0,1,2)$ is non-negative. We next show that this is true for all $p$.

Theorem 5.1. The expected values of the fluctuation of the corrections defined in (5.2) are non-negative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\tilde{A}_{p}\right\rangle \geqslant 0, \quad p=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is based on the observation that the sign of $\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \ldots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ (see (4.14)-(4.17)) is equal to $(-1)^{\chi(\mathbf{P})}$ with $\mathbf{P}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{M}\right)$ ( $\chi(\mathbf{P})$ is defined in (6.24)) and keeping track of the sign of each $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ in $A_{p}$ (see Lemma 6.5).

It follows from (3.11) and (4.11) that $A_{p}$ can be constructed in the following way. First we determine

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \psi_{m}^{(p)}(z)= & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m, k}\left[\overline{\psi_{0 k}^{(p-1)}} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\overline{\psi_{1 k}^{(p-2)}} E_{3}\left(z-a_{k}\right)+\cdots+\overline{\psi_{p-2, k}^{(1)}} E_{p}\left(z-a_{k}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we substitute $z=a_{m}$

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{p}= & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} \psi_{m}^{(p)}\left(a_{m}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m, k}\left[\overline{\psi_{0 k}^{(p-1)}} E_{2}\left(a_{m}-a_{k}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\overline{\psi_{1 k}^{(p-2)}} E_{3}\left(a_{m}-a_{k}\right)+\cdots+\overline{\psi_{p-2, k}^{(1)}} E_{p}\left(a_{m}-a_{k}\right)\right] \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We now apply the induction in $p$ to check the following. If $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle>0$ $(<0)$ then it enters $\left\langle A_{p}\right\rangle$ with the plus (minus) sign and a positive factor respectively that is $\left\langle A_{p}\right\rangle$ computed by (5.6) is a linear combination of $\left|\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle\right|$ with non-negative coefficients. Using (5.4) we see that this is
true for $\left\langle A_{p}\right\rangle, p=0,1,2$. Now we assume that this is true for $s=0,1,2, \ldots, p-1$ and consider $\left\langle A_{p}\right\rangle$ as given by (5.6). Take the term $\overline{\psi_{0 k}^{(p-1)}}$ in (5.6). It contains the quantities $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$. We multiply $\overline{\psi_{0 k}^{(p-1)}} E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)$ by $E_{2}\left(z-a_{k}\right)$, substitute $z=a_{m}$, sum up over all $m=1,2, \ldots, N$, divide by $N$ and calculate the expectation $\rangle$. Then each $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ becomes $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M} p_{M+1}}\right\rangle, p_{M+1}=2$. Now take $\overline{\psi_{1 k}^{(p-2)}}$ in (5.6). In the same way we see that $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ becomes $\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M} p_{M}+1 p_{M+1}}\right\rangle$, $p_{M+1}=3$, moreover it is multiplied by ( -1 ) in accordance with (6.24). On the other hand $\overline{\psi_{1 k}^{(p-2)}}$ is also multiplied by $(-1)$, since differentiation transforms the function $E_{l}(z)$ as follows $E_{l}^{\prime}(z)=-l E_{l+1}(z)$. Then we again preserve the positive sign. Same argument applies to other terms in (5.6) and theorem is proved.

## APPENDIX A

In the present section we prove the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\overline{\varphi(t)}]^{\prime}=-\left(\frac{r}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}, \quad\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid for each $\varphi(z)$ represented by the expansion

$$
\varphi(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{k}\left(z-a_{k}\right)^{l}, \quad\left|z-a_{k}\right| \leqslant r
$$

We have $t=r^{2} /\left(\overline{t-a_{k}}\right)+a_{k}$ on $\left|t-a_{k}\right|=r$, hence the left-hand side of (6.1) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\overline{\varphi(t)}]^{\prime}=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha_{k}} \frac{r^{2 l}(-l)}{\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{l+1}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The right-hand side of (6.1) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\frac{r}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}=-\left(\frac{r}{t-a_{k}}\right)^{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l \overline{\alpha_{k}} \frac{r^{2(l-1)}}{\left(t-a_{k}\right)^{l-1}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of (6.2) and (6.3) implies (6.1).

## APPENDIX B

In ref. 26 the problem of (2.6) type is called the $\mathbf{R}$-linear conjugation problem. If $\rho=0,\left(\lambda_{1}=1\right)$, we get the $\mathbf{C}$-linear problem, when $\varphi$ and $\varphi_{k}$ are
related to $\mathbf{C}$-linear conjugation condition. If $\rho=1\left(\lambda_{1}=\infty\right)$ or $\rho=-1$ ( $\lambda_{1}=0$ ), we arrive at the Hilbert-Riemann problem. In our case $|\rho|<1$. Qualitative study of this case has been performed in ref. 22 . We now show that the conjugation conditions (2.2) can be written in the form (2.6). The complex potentials have the following structure

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(z)+z & =u(z)+i v(z), & & z \in \mathscr{D}_{0} \cup \partial \mathscr{D}_{0} \\
\varphi_{k}(z) & =\frac{\lambda_{1}+1}{2}\left(u_{k}(z)+i v_{k}(z)\right), & & z \in D_{k} \cup T_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v$ and $v_{k}$ are harmonic conjugate to $u$ and $u_{k}$, respectively. Let $\partial / \partial s$ is the derivative in the natural parameter $s$. Using the Cauchy-Riemann equation ${ }^{(10)}$ (Chapt. 5, p. 382) $\partial u / \partial n=-\partial v / \partial s$ and $\partial u_{k} / \partial n=-\partial v_{k} / \partial s$ we can write the second relation (2.2) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial s}=\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial v_{k}}{\partial s} \quad \text { on } T_{k} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration (6.4) in $s$ yields

$$
v=\lambda_{1} v_{k}+c_{k} \quad \text { on } T_{k}
$$

where $c_{k}$ is an arbitrary real constant (see for example (2.3) and ref. 1). We put $c_{k}=0$, since a complex potential is determined up to a purely imaginary additive constant. Hence (2.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{k}, \quad v=\lambda_{1} v_{k} \quad \text { on } T_{k} \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Add the first relation (6.5) and the second relation (6.5) multiplied by $i$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u+i v=u_{k}+i \lambda_{1} v_{k} \quad \text { on } T_{k} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $u_{k}=\left[1 /\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)\right]\left(\varphi_{k}+\overline{\varphi_{k}}\right), v_{k}=1 /\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right) \cdot(1 / i)\left(\varphi_{k}-\overline{\varphi_{k}}\right)$ and $u+i v=\varphi(t)+t$ in (6.6) we obtain (2.6).

In general case, a harmonic function in a multiply connected domain is represented as the real part of a multi-valued analytic functions, ${ }^{(10)}$ Chapt. 5, p. 375). We explain now, why we take the single-valued function $\varphi(z)$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$. The function $\varphi(z)$ is single-valued in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ if and only if the integral of $\partial v / \partial s$ along each circle $T_{k}$ is zero

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{k}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial s} d s=0 \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify (6.7) we apply the second relation (6.5) and the Cauchy-Riemann equation

$$
\int_{T_{k}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial s} d s=\lambda_{1} \int_{T_{k}} \frac{\partial v_{k}}{\partial s} d s=\lambda_{1} \int_{T_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial n} d S
$$

The latter integral is equal to zero which follows from the harmonicity of $u_{k}$ inside $T_{k}$ and the Green's identity. Therefore, (6.7) holds and $\varphi(z)$ is single-valued in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$.

## APPENDIX C. ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONS

This section contains the description of the basic elliptic functions, namely the Weierstrass' function $\mathscr{P}(z)^{(13)}$ and the Eisenstein's functions $E_{m}(z) .{ }^{(31)}$

First, we consider the lattice sums

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2 n}:=\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{\prime}\left(m_{1}+i m_{2}\right)^{-2 n} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

introduced by Eisenstein ${ }^{(31)}$ and applied to composite materials by Rayleigh. ${ }^{(17)}$ In the sum $\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{\prime}$ the integer numbers $m_{1}, m_{2}$ range from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ except the case when $m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}=0$ The theory of elliptic functions and ref. 13 provide the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}(z)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(2 n-1) S_{2 n} z^{2 n-2} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\mathscr{P}(z)$ is doubly periodic. The Eisenstein's functions ${ }^{(31)}$ are introduced as follows

$$
E_{m}(z):=\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}\left(z-m_{1}-i m_{2}\right)^{-m}
$$

The Eisenstein $E_{2}$ and the Weierstrass function $\mathscr{P}$ are related by the identities

$$
E_{2}(z)=\mathscr{P}(z)+S_{2}
$$

Moreover, $E_{l}^{\prime}(z)=-l E_{l-1}(z)$. Introduce the modified Eisenstein functions

$$
\sigma_{l}(z)=E_{l}(z)-z^{-l}, \quad l=1,2, \ldots
$$

which are analytic in $Q_{(0,0)}$, and $\sigma_{l}(0)=S_{l}$, where $S_{l}=0$ for odd $l$. For the square array $S_{6}=S_{10}=S_{14}=\cdots=0 .{ }^{(17)}$ The remaining sums are positive and can be easily calculated by recursive formulas of the elliptic function theory, ${ }^{(13)}$ for instance, $S_{2}=\pi, S_{4}=3.15121, S_{8}=4.25577, S_{12}=3.93885$.

Recall that any meromorphic double periodic function (elliptic function) is a rational function of $\mathscr{P}(z)$ and $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}(z) .{ }^{(13)}$ Since our problem is double periodic it is convenient to represent it via the Eisenstein functions using the relation between $E_{l}$ and $\mathscr{P}(z)$.

## APPENDIX D. CALCULATION OF INTEGRALS AND SUMS

Lemma 6.1. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be the disk $\left\{w \in \mathbf{C}:\left|w-b_{k}\right|<d\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p q}^{*}:=\frac{1}{\pi d^{2}} \int_{\mathscr{A}} E_{p}\left(a_{m}-w\right) \overline{E_{q}\left(w-a_{l}\right)} d \sigma \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p q}^{*}=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{s} C_{s+p-1}^{p-1} C_{s+q-1}^{q-1} E_{s+p}\left(a_{m}-b_{k}\right) \overline{E_{s+q}\left(b_{k}-a_{l}\right)} \frac{d^{2 s}}{s+1} \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use the following formula ${ }^{(31)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}^{(s)}(z):=\frac{d^{s}}{d z^{s}} E_{p}(z)=(-1)^{s} p(p+1) \cdots(p+s-1) E_{p+s}(z) \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using the Taylor expansion we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{p}\left(a_{m}-w\right) & =(-1)^{p} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s!} E_{p}^{(s)}\left(b_{k}-a_{m}\right)\left(w-b_{k}\right)^{s} \\
& =\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} C_{p+s+1}^{p-1} E_{p+s}\left(a_{m}-b_{k}\right)\left(w-b_{k}\right)^{s} \tag{6.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the relation $E_{p}(z)=(-1)^{p} E_{p}(-z)$ from ref. 31 and (6.12). Analogously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{E_{q}\left(w-a_{l}\right)}=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{s} C_{q+s+1}^{q-1} \overline{E_{q+s}\left(b_{k}-a_{l}\right)} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ be integer numbers. Using the polar coordinates $w-b_{k}=R e^{i \theta}$ we calculate the integral

$$
\frac{1}{\pi d^{2}} \int_{\mathscr{K}}\left(w-b_{k}\right)^{s_{1}} \overline{\left(w-b_{k}\right)^{s_{2}}} d \sigma= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \quad s_{1} \neq s_{2}  \tag{6.15}\\ \frac{d^{2 s}}{s+1}, & \text { if } \quad s_{1}=s_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Substituting (6.14) and (6.13) in the definition of $J_{p q}$ (6.10) we obtain (6.11). The lemma is proved.

We now calculate the integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}^{*}:= & \frac{1}{\left(\pi d^{2}\right)^{M-1}} \int_{\mathscr{K}_{1}} \cdots \int_{\mathscr{K}_{M-1}} E_{p_{1}}\left(a_{k_{0}}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \\
& \times \cdots \times \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right)(d \sigma)^{M-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a slight modification of $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$ defined in (5.3). Here $\mathbf{C}$ is the operator of complex conjugation; $a_{k_{1}}, a_{k_{2}}, \ldots, a_{k_{M-1}}$, are the variables of integration, $a_{k_{0}}$ and $a_{k_{M}}$ are parameters, $(d \sigma)^{M-1}:=d x_{1} d y_{1} \cdots d x_{M-1} d y_{M-1}$, $a_{k_{j}}=x_{j}+i y_{j}$.

Lemma 6.2. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}^{*}= & \sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{M-1}}(-1)^{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{M-1}} c[p, s] E_{p_{1}+s_{1}}\left(a_{k_{0}}-b_{k_{1}}\right) \\
& \times \frac{E_{p_{2}+s_{1}+s_{2}}\left(b_{k_{1}}-b_{k_{2}}\right)}{\cdots} \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}+s_{M-1}}\left(b_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right) \\
& \times \frac{d^{2\left(s_{1}+\cdots+s_{M-1}\right)}}{\left(s_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(s_{M-1}+1\right)} \tag{6.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
c[p, s] & :=C_{p_{1}+s_{1}-1}^{p_{1}-1} C_{p_{2}+s_{1}-1}^{p_{2}-1} C_{p_{2}+s_{1}+s_{2}-1}^{p_{2}+s_{1}-1} C_{p_{3}+s_{2}-1}^{p_{3}-1} \cdots C_{p_{M}+s_{M-1}-1}^{p_{M}-1} \\
\sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{M-1}} & =\sum_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s_{2}=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{s_{M-1}=0}^{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is based on Lemma 6.1. For the sake of definiteness we choose $M$ to be an even number. First we integrate in $a_{k_{1}}$ in (6.16):

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}^{*}= & \frac{1}{\left(\pi d^{2}\right)^{M-2}} \int_{\mathscr{K}_{2}} \cdots \int_{\mathscr{K}_{M-1}} \sum_{s_{1}=0}^{\infty} C_{p_{1}+s_{1}-1}^{p_{1}-1} C_{p_{2}+s_{1}-1}^{p_{2}-1} \\
& \times E_{p_{1}+s_{1}}\left(a_{k_{0}}-b_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}+s_{1}}\left(b_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \\
& \times E_{p_{3}}\left(a_{k_{2}}-a_{k_{3}}\right) \cdots \overline{E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right)}(d \sigma)^{M-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{k_{2}}, \ldots, a_{k_{M-1}}$ are the variables of integration; $a_{k_{0}}, a_{k_{1}}, a_{k_{M}}$ are parameters. Next we integrate in $a_{k_{2}}$ and so on. After repeating this integration $M-2$ more times we obtain (6.16).

Lemma is proved.
We have integrated the convolution $E_{p_{1}}\left(a_{k_{0}}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \cdots$ $\mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right)$ in the variables $a_{k_{1}}, a_{k_{2}}, \ldots, a_{k_{M-1}}$ and have obtained $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}^{*}$. Next we need to calculate the integral in $a_{k_{0}}$ and $a_{k_{M_{1}}}$. It is straightforward to check that the integration of $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}^{*}$ in $a_{k_{0}}$ and $a_{k_{M}}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\left(\pi d^{2}\right)^{M+1}} \int_{\mathscr{K}_{0}} \cdots \int_{\mathscr{K}_{M}} E_{p_{1}}\left(a_{k_{0}}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \\
& \quad \cdots \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-a_{k_{M}}\right)(d \sigma)^{M+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{\left(\pi d^{2}\right)^{M+1}} \int_{\mathscr{K}_{1}} \cdots \int_{\mathscr{K}_{M-1}} E_{p_{1}}\left(b_{k_{0}}-a_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(a_{k_{1}}-a_{k_{2}}\right)} \\
& \quad \cdots \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(a_{k_{M-1}}-b_{k_{M}}\right)(d \sigma)^{M-1} \tag{6.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we have $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}^{*}=\left(1 / \pi^{2} d^{4}\right) J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$ when $a_{k_{0}}=b_{k_{0}}$ and $a_{k_{M}}=b_{k_{M}}$.
According to the theory of elliptic functions we introduce the parameters related to periods:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad w_{2}=-\frac{1+i}{2}, \quad w_{3}=\frac{i}{2} \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can see that all arguments of $J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}$ have the form $b_{p}-b_{q}(p \neq q)$, and therefore they can only take the values $\pm w_{k}(k=1,2,3)$. It is known ${ }^{(19)}$ that for the square array all values $E_{p}\left(w_{k}\right)$ are real, hence we can omit the complex conjugation in (6.16) for $a_{k_{0}}=b_{k_{0}}, a_{k_{M}}=b_{k_{M}}$.

Lemma 6.3. Let $h_{p}:=\sum_{k=1}^{3} E_{p}\left(w_{p}\right)$, then $h_{p}=\left(2^{p}-1\right) S_{p}$, where $S_{p}$ are the Rayleigh suns (see Appendix C).

Proof. It is based on the relation $\sum_{k=1}^{3} E_{p}\left(w_{p}\right)+S_{p}=\hat{S}_{p}$, where $\hat{S}_{p}=$ $\sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{\prime}\left(m_{1} / 2+i\left(m_{2} / 2\right)\right)^{-p}=2^{p} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}}^{\prime}\left(m_{1}+i m_{2}\right)^{-p}=2^{p} S_{p}$ corresponds to a quarter of the original unit cell (see the definition (6.8) of the sum $S_{p}$ ).

This proves the lemma.
Using (4.16) we now are ready to calculate the following sums

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle X_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle= & \left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle+S_{p_{M}}\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M-1}}\right\rangle+S_{p_{M}} S_{p_{M-1}}\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M-2}}\right\rangle \\
& +\cdots+S_{p_{M}} S_{p_{M-1}} \cdots S_{p_{1}} \\
\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle= & \sum_{m=1}^{4} \sum_{k_{1} \neq M} \cdots \sum_{k_{M} \neq k_{m-1}} J_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ can also be written in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle= & \sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{M-1}}(-1)^{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{M-1}} \\
& \times c[p, s] I_{p_{1}+s_{1}, p_{2}+s_{1}+s_{2} \cdots p_{M}+s_{M-1}} \frac{d^{2\left(s_{1}+\cdots+s_{M-1}\right)}}{\left(s_{1}+1\right) \cdots\left(s_{M-1}+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
I_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}=E_{p_{1}}\left(b_{k_{0}}-b_{k_{1}}\right) \overline{E_{p_{2}}\left(b_{k_{1}}-b_{k_{2}}\right)} \cdots \mathbf{C}^{M-1} E_{p_{M}}\left(b_{k_{M-1}}-b_{k_{M}}\right)
$$

Lemma 6.4. The following representation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}=4 h_{p_{1}} h_{p_{2}} \cdots h_{p_{M}} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from the straightforward calculations. First we put $M=1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{1}:= & E_{p}\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) \sum_{l \neq 2} E_{q}\left(b_{2}-b_{l}\right)+E_{p}\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right) \sum_{l \neq 3} E_{q}\left(b_{3}-b_{l}\right) \\
& +E_{p}\left(b_{1}-b_{4}\right) \sum_{l \neq 4} E_{q}\left(b_{4}-b_{l}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore $\eta_{1}$ contains the terms $E_{p}\left(w_{k_{1}}\right) E_{q}\left(w_{k_{2}}\right)$, which are equal to zero if $p$ or $q$ are odd. If $p$ and $q$ are even, then $E_{p}$ and $E_{q}$ are even functions and

$$
\sum_{l \neq 2} E_{q}\left(b_{2}-b_{l}\right)=E_{q}\left(b_{2}-b_{1}\right)+E_{q}\left(b_{2}-b_{3}\right)+E_{q}\left(b_{2}-b_{4}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{3} E_{q}\left(w_{k}\right)=h_{q}
$$

Here we have used the relation $E_{q}(\bar{z})=\overline{E_{q}(z)}$ and the condition that all $E_{q}\left(w_{k}\right)$ are real. The other sums in $l$ give the same result. Then

$$
\eta_{1}=h_{q}\left(E_{p}\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right)+E_{p}\left(b_{1}-b_{3}\right)+E_{p}\left(b_{1}-b_{4}\right)\right)=h_{p} h_{q}
$$

One can see that $\eta_{1}$ does not depend on $m$. Therefore, $I_{p q}=4 h_{p} h_{q}$. Analogously we prove that

$$
I_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}=I_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M-1}} h_{p_{M}}
$$

By induction we conclude that (6.19) holds.
The lemma is proved.

Applying Lemma 6.4, we obtain the following explicit expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle= & \sum_{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{M-1}}(-1)^{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{M-1}} c[p, s] h_{p_{1}+s_{1}} h_{p_{2}+s_{1}+s_{2}} \cdots h_{p_{M}+s_{M-1}} \\
& \times \frac{d^{2\left(s_{1}+\cdots+s_{M-1}\right)}}{\left(s_{1}+1\right)\left(s_{2}+1\right) \cdots\left(s_{M-1}+1\right)} \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

which is important in the investigation of the sign of each term

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\tilde{X}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle:= & \left\langle\tilde{Y}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle+S_{p_{M}}\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M-1}}\right\rangle \\
& +\cdots+S_{p_{M}} S_{p_{M-1}} \cdots S_{p_{3}}\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{p_{1} p_{2}}\right\rangle \tag{6.21}
\end{align*}
$$

in $\tilde{A}_{p}$. The values $\left\langle\tilde{X}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$, and hence $\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$, correspond to the fluctuation part of the effective conductivity. The term $h_{2} h_{2} \cdots h_{2}$ enters only in the deterministic part (the coefficient in front of $d^{0}$, when $p_{1}=\cdots=p_{M}=2$ and $s_{1}=\cdots=s_{M}=0$ in (6.20)). Therefore, we do not care about the term $h_{2} h_{2} \cdots h_{2}$ and proceed now to investigate the remaining terms $h_{p_{1}+s_{1}} h_{p_{2}+s_{1}+s_{2}} \cdots h_{p_{M}+s_{M-1}}$.

We observe that $\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle \neq 0$ iff

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}+s_{1} & =4 l_{1} \\
p_{2}+s_{1}+s_{2} & =4 l_{2} \\
& \vdots \\
p_{M-1}+s_{M-2}+s_{M-1} & =4 l_{M-1} \\
p_{M}+s_{M-1} & =4 l_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{M}$ are integer numbers. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{1} & =4 l_{1}-p_{1} \\
s_{2} & =4\left(l_{2}-l_{1}\right)+p_{1}-p_{2} \\
& \vdots  \tag{6.22}\\
s_{M-1} & =4\left(l_{M-1}-l_{M-2}+\cdots\right)+P \\
s_{M-1} & =4 l_{M}-p_{M}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P:=(-1)^{M-1}\left(p_{1}-p_{2}+\cdots+(-1)^{M} p_{M-1}\right)$. The first $M-1$ relations in (6.22) determine $l_{1}, l_{2}, \ldots, l_{M-1}$. The last relation in (6.22) can be
considered as the condition that $p_{M}+s_{M-1}=4 l_{M}, l_{M} \in \mathbf{Z}$. This condition implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle \neq 0 \quad \text { iff } \quad P=4 l, \quad l \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
s_{1}+s_{2}+\cdots+s_{M-1}=\chi(\mathbf{P})
$$

where $\mathbf{P}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{M}\right)$ and

$$
\chi(\mathbf{P}):= \begin{cases}p_{1}+p_{3}+\cdots+p_{M-1}(\bmod 2), & \text { if } M \text { is even } \\ p_{2}+p_{4}+\cdots+p_{M-1}(\bmod 2), & \text { if } M \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

Then $\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ has the sign $(-1)^{\chi(\mathbf{P})}$. Each non-zero term $\left\langle Y_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ in $\left\langle A_{p}\right\rangle$ satisfies the condition that $p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{M}$ is an even number. (6.23) implies that $P$ is an even number too. Hence, for instance, for odd $M$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(\mathbf{P}) & \equiv p_{2}+p_{4}+\cdots+p_{M-1}(\bmod 2) \\
& \equiv\left(p_{1}+p_{2}+\cdots+p_{M}\right)-p_{2}-p_{4}-\cdots-p_{M-1}(\bmod 2) \\
& \equiv p_{1}+p_{3}+\cdots+p_{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\chi(\mathbf{P})$ can be defined as the sum of $p_{j}$ with odd $j$ :

$$
\chi(\mathbf{P}):= \begin{cases}p_{1}+p_{3}+\cdots+p_{M-1}(\bmod 2), & \text { if } M \text { is even }  \tag{6.24}\\ p_{1}+p_{3}+\cdots+p_{M}(\bmod 2), & \text { if } M \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

We have demonstrated that the sign of $\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ is equal to $(-1)^{\chi(\mathbf{P})}$. Now we prove

Lemma 6.5. Let us fix an element $\mathbf{P}=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{M}\right)$ and corresponding sets $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{v}\right), v=2,3, \ldots, M$, where the term $\left\langle\tilde{X}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ consists of the non-zero terms $\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{v}}\right\rangle$ (see (6.21) and Appendix C). Then all terms $\left\langle\widetilde{Y}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{v}}\right\rangle, v=2,3, \ldots, M$, and hence $\left\langle\tilde{X}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ have the same sign $(-1)^{\chi(\mathbf{P})}$.

Proof. We have that $S_{l} \neq 0$ for the square array only if $l=2$, $4,8,12, \ldots$ (see Appendix C). Then (6.21) implies that each of the terms $\left\langle\tilde{X}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{M}}\right\rangle$ consists of the terms $\left\langle\tilde{Y}_{p_{1} \cdots p_{v}}\right\rangle$ with positive multipliers $S_{p_{M}} \cdots S_{p_{v+1}}$ only if $p_{v+1}, \ldots, p_{M}$ take the even values $2,4,8,12, \ldots$. Then all non-zero terms in (6.21) have the same sign $(-1)^{\chi(\mathbf{P})}$.

The lemma is proved.

## CONCLUSION

We first compute higher order terms in the Clausius-Mossotti formula, which is the expansion of the effective conductivity in the concentration of the fibers. This is done for an arbitrary number of unidirectional fibers, which are arbitrary located in the unit periodicity cell. Using the complex analysis techniques, we obtain analytical formulas for the coefficients in this expansion.

Next we use these results to study random arrays ("shaking" geometries) when the fibers are allowed to move randomly inside the periodicity cell according certain uniform distribution. We have computed analytical dependence of the coefficients in the Clausius-Mossotti formula on the distribution parameter $d$. Analysis of this dependence (Theorem 5.1) shows that the periodic array of the fibers has lower effective conductivity than any array obtained by the random shaking of the fibers. The latter is rigorously proved in the case of 4 cylinders in the unit cell and generalization for an arbitrary number of fibers is straightforward (but cumbersome).
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